

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/889,640	07/19/2001	Andreas Muhlebach		7149
324	7590 11/27/2002			
CIBA SPECIALTY CHEMICALS CORPORATION PATENT DEPARTMENT 540 WHITE PLAINS RD			EXAMINER	
			ZALUKAEVA, TATYANA	
P O BOX 200 TARRYTON	5 N, NY 10591-9005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1713	
			DATE MAILED: 11/27/2002	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		AS-6
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/889,640	MUHLEBACH ET AL.
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tatyana Zalukaeva	1713
The MAILING DATE of this communication P ri d f r R ply	appears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard property received by the Office later than three months after the maximum patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for atute, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on g	10 July 2001	
· · · <u> </u>	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all		procedution as to the marite is
closed in accordance with the practice und		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the applicati		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·
8) Claim(s) 1-6 are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac		
Applicant may not request that any objection to 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in		proved by the Examiner.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		
	Lammer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	ainn main aite comhan 05 11 0 0 . C 444	0(-) (-) (0
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	aigh phonty under 35 U.S.C. § 118	9(a)-(d) or (i).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	anda harra harra arrastrad	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum		ankan Na
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	• •	
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the papelication from the International</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a</li> </ul>	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional application).
a)  The translation of the foreign language	provisional application has been r	received.
Attachment(s)		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Notes</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 6

Application/Control Number: 09/889,640

Art Unit: 1713

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1, 2, and 6 drawn to a polymer and a method of its making.

Group II, claim(s) 3, drawn to another polymer.

Group III, claim(s) 4, 5 drawn to a polymer composition.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Where the group of inventions is claimed in one and the same international application, the requirement for unity of invention referred to in Rule 13.1 shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1

Application/Control Number: 09/889,640

Art Unit: 1713

because, under PCT Rule 13.2, although they share the special technical feature, such as groups denoted "m" and [Ax---By] this special technical feature does not define a contribution over the prior art for the following reasons: Claim 11 is either obvious or anticipated by DE 2232136. Accordingly, the special technical feature linking the inventions, namely the repeating unit [Ax-By] of polymers does not provide a contribution over the prior art, and no single general inventive concept exists. Therefore the restriction is appropriate

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I and III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: when a polymer of Group I is included in a composition, wherein another polymer or oligomer is added, the polymer of Group I may loose its chemical identity due to, for example, crosslinking reactions between the two.
- 3. The inventions listed as Groups II and II I do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the composition of Group III does not include the polymer of group II.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

R2 in a polymer of groups I and II (claims 1 and 3) exemplified by a multiplicity of functional groups, which are different by their structure, and therefore, by their functionality

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: 1 and 3.

5. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The species listed as X in claim 1 and as a terminal nitroxide group of claim 3 possess different functionalities, and, therefore, impart different properties to polymeric chains, which they terminate.

**Art Unit: 1713** 

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tatyana Zalukaeva whose telephone number is (703)308-8819. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (703) 308-2450. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

TATYANA ZALUKAEVA PATENT EXAMINER

Tatyana Zalukaeva Examiner Art Unit 1713

November 18, 2002.